SES Performance Management System Executive Performance Agreement



Part 1. Consultation. I have reviewed this plan and have been consulted on its development.								
Executive's Name (Last, F	irst, MI): E	Bussard, David	l A			Apprais	sal Pd. 10)/1/15 – 9/30/16
Executive's Signature:						Date: Ja	anuary 9,	, 2016
Title: Director, Washington	on Divisio	n , NCEA , ORD	, USEPA			Organiz	zation: N	CEA, ORD, USEPA
Rating Official's Name (Lo	ast, First, N	<i>/۱۱)</i> : Olden , Ke	nneth			CA X N	NC LT	T/LE 🗌
Rating Official's Signature	2:					Date:		
Part 2. Progress Review								
Executive's Signature:						Date:		
Rating Official's Signature	2:					Date:		
Reviewing Official's Signa	ture <i>(Opti</i>	onal):				Date:		
Part 3. Summary Rating						1		
Initial Summary Rating	Level Outstand		Level 4 ommendable	Effectiv	re l	Level Needs Improver		Level 1 Unsatisfactory
Rating Official's Name (Lo	ast, First, N	<i>11)</i> :						
Rating Official's Signature	2 :					Date:		
Executive's Signature:						Date:		
Reviewing Official's Signa	ture <i>(Opti</i>	onal):				Date:		
Higher Level Review (if a	pplicable)							
I request a higher leve	el review.	Executive's	Initials:			Date:		
Higher Level Review Com	pleted					Date:		
Higher Level Reviewer Sig	gnature:							
Performance Review Boo	ard Recom	mendation	Level 5	5 <u></u>	Level 4 🔲 L	evel 3	Leve	el 2 🔲 Level 1
PRB Chair Signature:							Date:	
Annual Summary Rating			Level 5	5 <u> </u>	Level 4 🔲 L	evel 3	Leve	el 2 🔲 Level 1
Appointing Authority Sign	nature:						Date:	
Part 4. Derivation Formu	ula and Ca	culation of A	nnual Summar	y Rating				
	Elem	ent Rating		!	Score			
Critical Element	Initial	Final (if changed)	Weight	Initial	Final (if changed)	\ s	ıımmarv	Level Ranges
1. Leading Change	IIIICIGI	(ii changea)	10	miciai	(ii changea)		•	
2. Leading People			20					0 = Level 5 4 = Level 4
3. Business Acumen			10					4 = Level 4 9 = Level 3
4. Building Coalitions5. Results Driven			50					9 = Level 2
Total			100%			Any	CE rated	Level 1 = Level 1

Part 5. Critical Elements

Performance Standards for Critical Elements (The performance standard for each critical element is specified below; examples for the top three performance levels can be found in the system description)

- Level 5: The executive demonstrates exceptional performance, fostering a climate that sustains excellence and optimizes results in the executive's organization, agency, department or government-wide. This represents the highest level of executive performance, as evidenced by the extraordinary impact on the achievement of the organization's mission. The executive is an inspirational leader and is considered a role model by agency leadership, peers, and employees. The executive continually contributes materially to or spearheads agency efforts that address or accomplish important agency goals, consistently achieves expectations at the highest level of quality possible, and consistently handles challenges, exceeds targets, and completes assignments ahead of schedule at every step along the way.
- Level 4: The executive demonstrates a very high level of performance beyond that required for successful performance in the executive's position and scope of responsibilities. The executive is a proven, highly effective leader who builds trust and instills confidence in agency leadership, peers, and employees. The executive consistently exceeds established performance expectations, timelines, or targets, as applicable.
- Level 3: The executive demonstrates the high level of performance expected and the executive's actions and leadership contribute positively toward the achievement of strategic goals and meaningful results. The executive is an effective, solid, and dependable leader who delivers high-quality results based on measures of quality, quantity, efficiency, and/or effectiveness within agreed upon timelines. The executive meets and often exceeds challenging performance expectations established for the position.
- Level 2: The executive's contributions to the organization are acceptable in the short term but do not appreciably advance the organization towards achievement of its goals and objectives. While the executive generally meets established performance expectations, timelines and targets, there are occasional lapses that impair operations and/or cause concern from management. While showing basic ability to accomplish work through others, the executive may demonstrate limited ability to inspire subordinates to give their best efforts or to marshal those efforts effectively to address problems characteristic of the organization and its work.
- **Level 1:** In repeated instances, the executive demonstrates performance deficiencies that detract from mission goals and objectives. The executive generally is viewed as ineffectual by agency leadership, peers, or employees. The executive does not meet established performance expectations/timelines/targets and fails to produce or produces unacceptable work products, services, or outcomes.

Element Rating Level Points	
	Level 5 = 5 points
	Level 4 = 4 points
	Level 3 = 3 points
	Level 2 = 2 points
	Level 1 = 0 points

Executive Name and ID: Bussard, David A Appraisal Period: 10/1/15 - 9/30/16 **Critical Element 1. Leading Change** (Minimum weight 5%) Weight 10% Develops and implements an organizational vision that integrates key organizational and program goals, priorities, values, and other factors. Assesses and adjusts to changing situations, implementing innovative solutions to make organizational improvements, ranging from incremental improvements to major shifts in direction or approach, as appropriate. Balances change and continuity; continually strives to improve service and program performance; creates a work environment that encourages creative thinking, collaboration, and transparency; and maintains program focus, even under adversity. Agency-Specific Performance Requirements Advances EPA's cross-agency strategies to improve service and program performance, to include streamlining decision making to increase efficiency and reduce costs, and to achieve sustainable environmental, economic, and social outcomes. Rating Official Narrative: (Supervisor must provide comment for critical element ratings of Outstanding, Needs Improvement, and Unsatisfactory) Level 5 Level 4 Level 3 Level 2 Level 1 Critical Element Rating - Leading Change Critical Element 2. Leading People (Minimum weight 5%) Weight 20% Designs and implements strategies that maximize employee potential, connects the organization horizontally and vertically, and fosters high ethical standards in meeting the organization's vision, mission, and goals. Provides an inclusive workplace that fosters the development of others to their full potential; allows for full participation by all employees; facilitates collaboration, cooperation, and teamwork, and supports constructive resolution of conflicts. Ensures employee performance plans are aligned with the organization's mission and goals, that employees receive constructive feedback, and that employees are realistically appraised against clearly defined and communicated performance standards. Holds employees accountable for appropriate levels of performance and conduct. Seeks and considers employee input. Recruits, retains, and develops the talent needed to achieve a high quality, diverse workforce that reflects the nation, with the skills needed to accomplish organizational performance objectives while supporting workforce diversity, workplace inclusion, and equal employment policies and programs. Agency-Specific Performance Requirements Employee is personally engaged in the development and success of Agency Civil Rights, EEO, and Diversity and Inclusion programs and/or initiatives, including action items identified in the Agency's Management Directive 715 Report, Promotes respectful, cooperative, and productive relationships between all employees including diverse individuals and teams in support of EPA's mission. Ensures compliance with applicable equal employment opportunity laws, regulations, policies, and Executive Orders. Engages in proactive efforts to minimize workplace conflict and enhance management-employee communication, and promotes employee career development. When conflict arises, participates actively in EEO processes and resolution efforts, including alternative dispute resolution, EEO Counseling activities, and EEO investigations and hearings. Applies Merit Systems Principles as appropriate, promptly responds to allegations of discrimination and/or harassment, and initiates appropriate action to address such situations. As applicable, supports efforts within organization to cultivate a highly-skilled workforce, providing employees with opportunities to learn and work collaboratively in a modern, inclusive, and flexible work environment, and supporting their use of advanced information technologies and tools that enhance communication, transparency, and cooperative problem solving across the Agency and with our partners. Employee uses employee feedback and other data to develop action plans or initiatives to improve employee engagement and inclusion. Rating Official Narrative: (Supervisor must provide comment for critical element ratings of Outstanding, Needs Improvement, and Unsatisfactory)

Level 4

Level 3

Level 2

Level 5

Critical Element Rating - Leading People

Level 1

Executive Name and ID: Bussard, David A Appraisal Period: 10/1/15 - 9/30/16 Critical Element 3. Business Acumen (Minimum weight 5%) Weight 10% Assesses, analyzes, acquires, and administers human, financial, material, and information resources in a manner that instills public trust and accomplishes the organization's mission. Uses technology to enhance processes and decision making. Executes the operating budget; prepares budget requests with justifications; and manages resources. Agency-Specific Performance Requirements As applicable, effectively promotes and supports meeting the Agency's Small Business Program goals and commitments, including implementing strategies for increasing potential contracting opportunities for Small Businesses. Small Disadvantaged Businesses, 8(a) Businesses, Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business, Women Owned Small Businesses and HUBZone Businesses. As appropriate, effectively promotes and supports meeting the Agency's Minority Academic Institutions Program goals through increased opportunities to Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Tribal Colleges and Universities, Asian American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions, Alaska Native Serving Institutions, Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions, and Hispanic Serving Institutions identified by the Department of Education's Award Categories. As applicable, modernizes business practices, including through E-Enterprise, and takes advantage of new tools and technologies. Improves the way we work as a high-performing Agency by ensuring we add value in every transaction with our workforce, our co-regulators, our partners, industry, and the people we serve. As applicable, promotes the use of strategic sourcing and business process improvements as a component of the Agency's High Performing Organization Cross-Agency Strategy. As applicable, ensures compliance with all personnel security and National Security Information requirements. Safeguards and protects classified information in the manner prescribed by regulation, directive and agency guidelines. Report incidents, in compliance with the National Security Information manual, involving the improper handling, unauthorized or inadvertent disclosure of classified information and violations of the security regulations. Rating Official Narrative: (Supervisor must provide comment for critical element ratings of Outstanding, Needs *Improvement, and Unsatisfactory)* Level 5 Level 3 Level 2 Level 1 Critical Element Rating – Business Acumen Level 4 **Critical Element 4. Building Coalitions** (Minimum weight 5%) Weight 10% Solicits and considers feedback from internal and external stakeholders or customers. Coordinates with appropriate parties to maximize input from the widest range of appropriate stakeholders to facilitate an open exchange of opinion from diverse groups and strengthen internal and external support. Explains, advocates, and expresses facts and ideas in a convincing manner and negotiates with individuals and groups internally and externally, as appropriate. Develops a professional network with other organizations and identifies the internal and external politics that affect the work of the organization. Agency-Specific Performance Requirements As applicable, engages communities to improve health, livability, and economic vitality of neighborhoods, while advancing Agency goals for environmental justice and children's health. As applicable, strengthens relationships with states, tribes, local governments, and the global community to build new tools and strategies, establish joint priorities, manage resources effectively, and share information. Rating Official Narrative: (Supervisor must provide comment for critical element ratings of Outstanding, Needs *Improvement, and Unsatisfactory)*

Level 4

Level 5

Critical Element Rating - Building Coalitions

Level 2

Level 1

Level 3

Executive Name and ID: Bussard, David A			Appraisal Pe	riod: 10/1/1	5 – 9/30/16
Critical Element 5. Results Driven		(Minimum We	ight 20%)	Weight 50%
Agency Goals/Objectives for current FY: The exe may list up to 10 in total; overflow space provide					executive
This critical element includes specific performance focusing on measurable outcomes from the strate to organizational goals and objectives. At a minim (including measures, targets, timelines, or quality 3 for each result specified. It is recommended to Alignmentcite relevant goals/objectives, page no Justification/Annual Performance Plan, or other operformance requirement specified.	egic plan or other num, the perform descriptors, as ap also establish the umbers, from the	measurable o ance plan will propriate) de threshold me Strategic Plan	utputs and our include perform scribing the rates asures/targets, Congressiona	tcomes clearly mance requir nge of perform of for Levels 5 a al Budget	y aligned rements mance at Level and 2.
Performance Requirement 1: Contribute signiful program accomplishments.	icantly to NCE	A's IRIS	Strategic Res	nment: ORD's	<u> Plan 2012-</u>
 In particular: Complete for NCEA review a revised of Formaldehyde by April 30, 2016; Complete for NCEA review a draft ass NCEA review by Feb 15, 2016; Complete for NCEA review a revised a oxide for NCEA review by June 30, 20 	essment of n-bu	itanol for	In addition, s related Agend formaldehyd mandate to r emissions fro and the EPA I 28 goals to re	_	cals support as for tatutory aldehyde ood products c Plan for 2014- triggers (p. 68)
Performance Requirement 2: During fy16 (i.e., by and demands to support the IRIS program allow, p guidance to further NCEA's risk methods developr	orovide managem			nment: ORD's earch Action F	5 <u>HHRA</u> Plan 2012-2016
Rating Official Narrative: (Supervisor must provide Improvement, and Unsatisfactory)	comment for crit	ical element r	atings of Outs	tanding, Need	S
Cuttinal Flamout Buting But II a Daine					
Critical Element Rating – Results Driven	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1

	p to 8 more performance requirements; Calibri 10 font required.
Performance Requirement 3:	Strategic Alignment:
Performance Requirement 4:	Strategic Alignment:
Performance Requirement 5:	Strategic Alignment:
Performance Requirement 6:	Strategic Alignment:
·	
Performance Requirement 7:	Strategic Alignment:
renormance requirement 7.	Strategic Alignment.
Performance Requirement 8:	Strategic Alignment:
Performance Requirement 9:	Strategic Alignment:
Performance Requirement 10:	Strategic Alignment:
Part 6: Summary Rating Narrative (Mandatory) Supervis	sor must provide comment for all ratings.

Part 8: Agency Use

		Execut	ive	Developn	nent Plan			
Employee Name (Last, First, MI)				Performance Period	Period			
BUSSARD, David A				From: 10/1/2015	To:	9/30/2016		
Long Term Goal:		nd more time on executive tions and delegate e		Short Term Goal:	rm Develop Division management team			
Career Goals & Development Objectives		Specific Development Activities						
	Go	als/Objectives			Activity		Time Frame	
Explicit Division framework of goals and objectives			Work with Dep Div Dir and Group Chiefs with regular discussions of goals, obstacles, objectives			Start in Feb 2016 and complete by end of Sept 2016		
Build administrative skills of myself and management team			With a new Deputy and several new GCs, do regular meetings and build shared knowledge of various management tasks			Start in Dec 2015 and continue through end of Sept 2016		
Help build understanding within the Division of how other parts of EPA utilize risk assessment tools from NCEA			Help suggest topics for regular NCEA seminars			Start in April 2016 and continue through Sept 2016		
		Faralassa -	l	0:	n door		paraving Official	
Discussion and/or approval of the Executive Development Plan. Emplo Signature Signature Date		Employee Signature	Sig	Supe nature	Signature		pproving Official	
		Date	Da	te		Date		